



# HAKEM DENEYİMLERİNİN PAYLAŞILMASI

**Prof. Dr. Bülent Yeşilata**

**Ankara Yıldırım Beyazıt Üniversitesi, Enerji Sistemleri Mühendisliği Bölümü**



**Ufuk Avrupa Küme 5: İklim,  
Enerji ve Mobilite Ulusal Bilgi  
Günü, 9-10 Ocak 2023**

# Mevcut Hakemlik Deneyimleri





- Horizon Europe/CSP Eranet/ Evaluator and Panelist (May 2022, June 2022, Jan 2023)
- Horizon 2020/CSP Eranet-SHC Project Evaluator and Panelist (May 2014, Nov. 2014, May 2015, June 2015, Nov. 2017, May 2018, Oct. 2018),
- FP-7 Energy/CSP Eranet-SME/CleanSky Project Evaluator, Panelist and Rapporteur (Dec. 2010, Jan. 2011, Nov. 2011, May 2012, Feb. 2013),
- EU Project Monitoring (2 times in 2013 and 2015)
- Poland National Science Council Project Evaluator (5 times in 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2021)



Board Member of TUBİTAK EU Project Coordinator Award Programme (May 2015-May 2019),


# HE ile ilgili Sohbet



# Süreçler ile İlgili Sohbet



## Standard evaluation process





# Kriterler ile İlgili Sohbet



## Evaluation criteria (RIAs and IAs)

### Research and innovation action (RIA)

Activities to establish new knowledge or to explore the feasibility of a new or improved technology, product, process, service or solution.

This may include basic and applied research, technology development and integration, testing, demonstration and validation of a small-scale prototype in a laboratory or simulated environment.

### Innovation action (IA)

Activities to produce plans and arrangements or designs for new, altered or improved products, processes or services.

These activities may include prototyping, testing, demonstrating, piloting, large-scale product validation and market replication.

### EXCELLENCE

- ✓ Clarity and pertinence of the **project's objectives**, and the extent to which the proposed work is ambitious, and goes beyond the state-of-the-art.
- ✓ Soundness of the proposed **methodology**, including the underlying concepts, models, assumptions, interdisciplinary approaches, appropriate consideration of the **gender dimension** in research and innovation content, and the quality of **open science practices** including sharing and management of research outputs and engagement of citizens, civil society and end users where appropriate.

### IMPACT

- ✓ Credibility of the **pathways** to achieve the expected **outcomes and impacts** specified in the work programme, and the likely scale and significance of the contributions due to the project.
- ✓ Suitability and quality of the **measures to maximize expected outcomes and impacts**, as set out in the dissemination and exploitation plan, including communication activities.

### QUALITY AND EFFICIENCY OF THE IMPLEMENTATION

- ✓ Quality and effectiveness of the **work plan**, assessment of risks, and appropriateness of the effort assigned to work packages, and the resources overall.
- ✓ Capacity and role of each **participant**, and extent to which the **consortium** as a whole brings together the necessary expertise.

*Proposals aspects are assessed to the extent that the proposed work is within the scope of the work programme topic*



European Commission

# Puanlama ile ilgili Sohbet



## Interpretation of scores



The proposal **fails to address the criterion** or cannot be assessed due to missing or incomplete information.



**Poor.** The criterion is inadequately addressed, or there are serious inherent weaknesses.



**Fair.** The proposal broadly addresses the criterion, but there are significant weaknesses.



**Good.** The proposal addresses the criterion well, but a number of shortcomings are present.



**Very Good.** The proposal addresses the criterion very well, but a small number of shortcomings are present.



**Excellent.** The proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion. Any shortcomings are minor.



European  
Commission

# Güvenilirlik ile İlgili Sohbet



## Conflicts of interest

You have a COI if you:

*Were involved in the preparation of the proposal.*

*Stand to benefit directly/indirectly, if the proposal is successful or fails.*

*Have a close family/personal relationship with any person representing an applicant legal entity.*

*Are a director/trustee/partner of an applicant or involved in the management of an applicant's organisation.*

*Are employed or contracted by an applicant or a named subcontractor.*

*Are a member of an Advisory Group or Programme Committee in an area related to the call in question.*

*Are a National Contact Point or are directly working for the Enterprise Europe Network.*

*Are involved in a competing proposal.*

an  
ssion

# Objektiflik İle İlgili Sohbet



## Guiding principles

### Independence

- You are evaluating in a personal capacity.
- You represent neither your employer, nor your country!

### Impartiality

- You must treat all proposals equally and evaluate them impartially on their merits, irrespective of their origin or the identity of the applicants.

### Objectivity

- You evaluate each proposal as submitted, meaning on its own merit, not its potential if certain changes were to be made.

### Accuracy

- You make your judgment against the official evaluation criteria and the call or topic the proposal addresses and nothing else.


### Consistency

- You apply the same standard of judgment to all proposals





# Bütünsellik ile İlgili Sohbet





**Teşekkürler**